



L1b: 1936 (March 20) Change to "Multiple" watermark (W.8)

Perf	Paper	Colour	UHM	LHM	FU
14 x 13 ½	Fine HM	(1) Green	3.00	1.50	1.00
		(2) Deep green	3.00	1.50	1.00

L1b	Variety	Mint	Used
(Z)	Double perforations (pair)	\$ 1,250	
(Y)	Double print (one albino)	\$ 1,000	
(X)	Watermark inverted (W.8c) (July 1936)	20.00	25.00
(W)	[9] Plate 1A small, Clematis flaw R8/1, no flaw on R8/3	\$ 100	10.00
(V)	[9] Plate 1A small, Clematis flaw R8/1, flaw on value tablet on R8/3	\$ 110	10.00
(U)	[4] R5/17 re-entry from one of the two unnumbered plates	\$ 210	25.00
(T)	[4] unnumbered plates, various flaws: R3/18 dot by 1 in value tablet; R5/15 mark on N of NEW; R2/21 line through AN of LAND; R6/9 dot above 2; R9/7 loss of shading on fantail and claw; R4/8 RFVENUE flaw each	60.00	10.00
(S)	[4] Plate 1A small, various flaws: R1/1 marks on top of tail and head; R10/4 dot on W of NEW.....each	50.00	10.00
(R)	[4] Plate 1B large, flaw: R4/2 retouched corner by D of LAND	70.00	15.00
[4]	Plate Nos. 1A, 1B (small letters) each	40.00	
[4]	Plate Nos. 1A, 1B (large letters) (Oct 1937)	\$ 100	
[9]	Un-numbered Plate block, with flaw, RB/3	\$ 110	
[9]	Un-numbered Plate block, without flaw	\$ 120	

The presence of a minor flaw (two marks on the fraction bar) on one of the un-numbered plates is the accepted way of differentiating between these two plates. As it occurs on R8/3 a block of nine stamps with bottom and side selvedge is necessary to ensure that its positional place on the plate is unmistakable. This R8/3 flaw must not be confused with the much more noticeable flaw on R8/3 of plate No. 1A small letters. The fact that "R8/3" is a "flawed" stamp on two different plates is purely coincidental.

The ½ d was withdrawn on 28 February, 1938.